## Appendix 7

# AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT

RHA REPORT 10-3785-R1 Draft 2

## Whitehaven Coal Mine Canyon Extension Air Quality Impact Assessment

×

Prepared for

Whitehaven Coal Mining Ltd Ground Floor 895 Anne Street PO Box 2440 FORTITUDE VALLEY BC QLD 4006

17 December 2004

#### **RICHARD HEGGIE**

A S S O C I A T E S ABN 29 001 584 612

Level 2, 2 Lincoln Street Lane Cove NSW 2066 Australia (PO Box 176 Lane Cove NSW 1595 Australia) Telephone 61 2 9427 8100 Facsimile 61 2 9427 8200 Email sydney@heggies.com.au

## Whitehaven Coal Mine Canyon Extension Air Quality Impact Assessment



Richard Heggie Associates Pty Ltd operates under a Quality System which has been certified by Quality Assurance Services Pty Limited to comply with all the requirements of ISO 9001:2000 "Quality Systems - Model for Quality Assurance in Design, Development, Production, Installation and Servicing" (Licence No 3236).

This document has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of that System.

Richard Heggie Associates Pty Ltd is a Member Firm of the Association of Australian Acoustical Consultants.

Reference	Status	Date	Prepared	Checked	Authorised
10-3785-R1	Draft 2	17 December 2004	Debbie Peel	Jason Watson	Jason Watson
10-3785-R1	Draft 1	10 December 2004	Debbie Peel	Jason Watson	Jason Watson

### COPYRIGHT

© Richard Heggie Associates 2004

All intellectual property and copyright reserved.

Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study, research, criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright Act, 1968, no part of this report may be reproduced, transmitted, stored in a retrieval system or adapted in any form or by any means [electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise] without written permission.

Enquiries should be addressed to Richard Heggie Associates

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### Page

1	INTI	RODUCTION	1
2	EXIS	STING AIR QUALITY ENVIRONMENT	1
3	AIR	QUALITY CRITERIA	2
4	DISI	PERSION MODELLING	2
	4.1	Methodology	2
	4.2	Dispersion Meteorology	2
	4.3	Nearest Sensitive Receptors	4
	4.4	Modelling Scenarios	4
	4.5	Emission Factors	4
	4.6	Emission Inventory for the Proposed Operation	6
5	EMI	SSIONS ASSESSMENT	8
	5.1	Dust Deposition	
	5.2	PM10 (24-Hour Average)	
	5.3	PM <sub>10</sub> (Annual Average)	9
	5.4	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	
6	CON	ICLUSION	10
7	REF	ERENCES	11

#### APPENDICES

Appendix A	Annual and Seasonal Wind Roses for Whitehaven Coal Mine, Canyon Extension	. 15
Appendix B	Seasonal Stability Classes for Whitehaven Coal Mine, Canyon Extension	. 19
Appendix C	Site Plan of Scenario 2 - Whitehaven Coal Mine, Canyon Extension	. 23
Appendix D	Atmospheric Emissions Inventory	. 27
Appendix E	Predicted Annual Average Dust Deposition Contours, Scenario 2, Whitehaven Coal Mine, Canyon	
	Extension	. 31
Appendix F	Predicted 24-Hour Average PM10 Contours, Scenario 2, Whitehaven Coal Mine,	
	Canyon Extension	. 35

#### FIGURES

Figure 1	Annual Stability Class Distr	ibution for the Canyon Extension	site
0	2	2	

#### TABLES

Table 1	Background Air Quality Environment for Assessment Purposes	1
Table 2	Details of Representative Sensitive Air Quality Receptors	4
Table 3	Particulate Emission Factors for Air Quality Dispersion Modelling	5
Table 4	Background and Incremental Dust Deposition at Nearest Sensitive Receptors	8
Table 5	Background and Incremental PM <sub>10</sub> Concentrations at Nearest Sensitive Receptors	9
	8	

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

Richard Heggie Associates Pty Ltd (Heggies) has been commissioned by Whitehaven Coal Mining Ltd (WCML) to undertake an Air Quality Impact Assessment for the proposed extension of the existing Whitehaven Coal Mine (referenced herein as "Canyon Extension site").

The Whitehaven Coal Mine (WCM) located some 16 km east-southeast of Boggabri NSW, commenced operations in 2000 and has an approved production rate of 1.25 Mtpa. Most open-cut mining activities are approved to occur between 7.00 am to 10.00 pm Monday to Saturday and are generally undertaken from 7.00 am to 6.00 pm. Limited activities are permitted between 10.00 pm to about 2.00 am. The approved mine has a projected remaining life of approximately 1 year.

This letter report assesses the air quality impacts associated with the Canyon Extension of the Whitehaven Coal Mine only, and does not consider the current mining activities at the site.

#### 2 EXISTING AIR QUALITY ENVIRONMENT

For the purposes of assessing the potential air quality impacts of the Canyon Extension site, an estimate of background particulate levels is required. For each criteria pollutant, the maximum background concentration has been selected, for each relevant averaging period. **Table 1** outlines the background air quality concentrations relevant to this project.

Table 1 Background Air Quality Environment for Assessment Purposes

Air Quality Parameter	Averaging Period	Assumed Background Ambient Level
DM	24-hour	Varies <sup>1</sup>
<b>F</b> 1 <b>V</b> 1 <sub>10</sub>	Annual	14 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
Dust	Annual	$1.5 \text{ g/m}^2/\text{month}^2$

*Note 1* Daily-varying 24-hour average  $PM_{10}$  concentrations sourced from the Tamworth DEC air quality monitoring site (2001) have been used within the  $PM_{10}$  modelling.

*Note 2* Dust deposition values have been sourced from monitoring undertaken by Whitehaven Coal Mining Ltd, between January 2001 and December 2001, around the existing Whitehaven Coal Mine, NSW.

#### 3 AIR QUALITY CRITERIA

The air quality goals adopted in this report are those specified in the NSW DEC document "Approved Methods and Guidance for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in New South Wales".

In summary, the specific goals being applied to the Canyon Extension site, which conform to current DEC air quality targets, are as follows:

- PM<sub>10</sub>: A 24-hour maximum of 50 μg/m<sup>3</sup> An annual average of 30 μg/m<sup>3</sup>
  PM<sub>2.5</sub>: A 24-hour maximum of 25 μg/m<sup>3</sup> An annual average of 8 μg/m<sup>3</sup>
  Dust: Nuisance expected to impact on residential areas when annual
- average dust deposition levels exceed 3.5 g/m<sup>2</sup>/month.

#### 4 DISPERSION MODELLING

#### 4.1 Methodology

The pollutant dispersion modelling carried out in the present assessment utilises the Ausplume Gaussian Plume Dispersion Model software developed by EPA (Victoria).

Ausplume is the approved dispersion model for use in the majority of applications in New South Wales. Default options specified in the Technical Users Manual (EPA Victoria, 2000) have been used, as per "Approved Methods and Guidance for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in New South Wales".

#### 4.2 Dispersion Meteorology

Meteorological data for the year 2001, from the on-site Whitehaven monitoring station, has been used for this assessment.

A summary of the 2001 annual wind behaviour for the Canyon Extension site is presented as a wind rose is included in **Appendix A**. This wind rose is representative of the meteorological input file used in the assessment, and displays occurrences of winds from all quadrants.

The seasonal variation in wind behaviour at the Canyon Extension site is also presented in **Appendix A**. The seasonal wind roses indicate that:

- □ In spring, south-easterly and west-north-west winds dominate.
- In winter, winds originate from all quadrants.
- □ In summer, the predominant wind direction is south-easterly.
- In autumn, the predominant wind direction is south-easterly.

Atmospheric stability refers to the tendency of the atmosphere to resist or enhance vertical motion. The Pasquill-Gifford-Turner assignment scheme identifies six (6) Stability Classes, "A" to "F", to categorise the degree of atmospheric stability. These classes indicate the characteristics of the prevailing meteorological conditions.

Stability Class "A" represents highly unstable conditions that are typically found during summer, categorised by strong winds and convective conditions. Conversely, Stability Class "F" relates to highly stable conditions, typically associated with clear skies, light winds and the presence of a temperature inversion. Classes "B" through to "E" represent conditions intermediate to these extremes.

The frequency of occurrence of each Stability Class for 2001 are presented in **Figure 1**. The results indicate a high frequency (39%) of conditions typical to Stability Class "A" throughout the year at the project site. This is indicative of highly unstable conditions, conducive to a high level of pollutant dispersion due to mechanical mixing.

It is noted that the Noise Impact Assessment undertaken by Richard Heggie Associates Pty Ltd (Heggies) for the Canyon Extension Site used stability class data for the period January 2001 to December 2002, only analysing stability class for 6pm to 7am in accordance with the Industrial Noise Policy (INP). The stability class used in this assessment presents both the annual and seasonal averages for 2001, as outlined in "Approved Methods and Guidance for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in New South Wales".





**Appendix B** illustrates the seasonal variation in atmospheric stability class at the subject site. The frequency distribution of stability class varies relatively little with season, with Stability Class "A" dominating in all seasons.

#### 4.3 Nearest Sensitive Receptors

The details of the four closest (non project related) sensitive air quality receptors (residential) are presented in **Table 2**.

Property Name	Easting	Northing
"Willgai"	224822	167688
"Broadwater"	219398	164326
"Woodlands"	225884	168241
"Gundawarra"	224564	169543

 Table 2
 Details of Representative Sensitive Air Quality Receptors

#### 4.4 Modelling Scenarios

For this air quality impact assessment, the worst case scenario (Scenario 2, illustrated in **Appendix C**) has been modelled. This scenario corresponds (as reported in the "Heggies" report 10-1863R4D2 "*Whitehaven Coal Mine, Canyon Extension, Noise Impact Assessment*") to mining operations that are proposed to occur during the period February 2007 to November 2007. This scenario has been selected for modelling considering the following factors:

- □ The quantity of waste (overburden) and run-of-mine (ROM) coal that is set to be extracted and processed during this time. To simulate this worst case scenario, the waste and ROM coal quantities that have been established for the period February 2007 to November 2007 have been used, these being 7,333,705 bank cubic metres (bcm) and 1,000,500 tonnes, respectively.
- Location of coal extraction and emplacement activities.
- $\Box$  The area of the mine exposed to open cut activities (approximately 0.4 km<sup>2</sup>).
- Location of major particulate generating plant.
- □ The proximity of the proposed mining operations in this scenario to the closest non-mine related residential receivers, particularly Willgai and Broadwater.

Most open-cut mining activities are approved to occur between 7.00 am to 10.00 pm Monday to Saturday and are generally undertaken from 7.00 am to 6.00 pm at the Canyon Extension site. Limited activities are permitted between 10.00 pm to about 2.00 am. This is explored further in **Section 4.6** of the report.

#### 4.5 Emission Factors

A review has been carried out of particulate generating activities expected at the Canyon Extension site. For the chosen worst case scenario, the following activities (where applicable) have been included in the particulate emissions inventory.

- Mining activities (excavators, dozers, scraper and grader).
- Blasting activities (including the use of a drill rig).
- Dumping of materials within the project site (overburden / coal).

- Coal Processing Area activities (crushing, front end loader).
- Wind erosion of open pit and stockpiles.
- Load-out facility activities (front end loader, handling, transfer, conveyor, loading to trucks).
- General movement of heavy vehicles on unsealed roads within the site (haul truck wheel dust).

General movement of heavy vehicles on unsealed roads between the processing area and the site boundary (semi-trailer wheel dust). **Table 3** presents the emission factors used for the key atmospheric pollutants used in the dispersion modelling carried out for this report. These relate to emissions expected under normal operating conditions. The ratio of the PM<sub>10</sub> fraction of the TSP ranges from 50% (eg wind erosion) down to 25% (eg wheel generated dust). The proportion of the PM<sub>10</sub> fraction for each activity was derived primarily from Environment Australia (2001), "*Emission Estimation Technique Manual for Mining*" (EETM for mining).

Table 3 Particulate Emission Factors for Air Quality Dispersion Modelling

Activity	Dust Deposition Emission Factor	PM <sub>10</sub> Emission Factor	Emission Factor Units
Blasting	311.55	162.01	kg/blast
Excavator (on overburden)	0.0003	0.0001	kg/t
Excavator / Front End Loader (on coal)	0.0273	0.0131	kg/t
Bulldozer (on overburden)	0.5739	1.4054	kg/hr
Bulldozer (on coal)	10.72	2.27	kg/hr
Grader	0.5238	0.1913	kg/VKT
Scraper	0.0108	0.0026	kg/VKT
Stockpile and Open Pit Wind Erosion	0.4000	0.2000	kg/ha/hr
Drilling	0.5900	0.3100	kg/hole
Coal Dumping	0.0100	0.0042	kg/t
Overburden Dumping	0.0120	0.0043	kg/t
Haul Truck Movement (on overburden)	0.4740	0.1388	kg/VKT
Haul Truck Movement (on coal)	0.4351	0.1288	kg/VKT
Crusher	0.0100	0.0040	kg/t
Handling, transfer and conveying	0.0050	0.0020	kg/t
Loading to Trucks	0.0050	0.0020	kg/t

In general, default emission factors have been used as contained in Table 1 of the EETM for Mining, or in some instances such as crushing operations and handling, transferring and conveying activities, default emission factors have been used as contained in Table 2 of the EETM for Mining.

However, in some instances, the moisture content of materials at the site is not adequately reflected within the default emission factors contained in the EETM for Mining, and the equations given in Table 1 of the document were therefore used to derive representative emission factors. The following emission factors were derived using this method:

- Excavator on overburden / coal
- Dozer on overburden / coal
- □ Grader
- □ Scraper
- Front end loader
- Handling, transfer and conveying
- **Blasting**
- Haul truck generated wheel dust from movement both within and out of the site

The following parameters, which were utilised for a previous Heggies assessment of a similar open-cut coal mine, the Werris Creek Coal Mine (found in "Heggies" report 30-1307R2D4 "*The Proposed Werris Creek Coal Mine, Air Quality Impact Assessment*"), were used in deriving the above emission factors:

- A mean average of 3.9% moisture content of coal
- A mean average of 5.5% moisture content of overburden
- □ 1.8% silt content

A summary of the calculations made in deriving these emission factors is given in **Appendix D**.

#### 4.6 Emission Inventory for the Proposed Operation

**Appendix D** provides details of the emission inventory associated with the chosen model scenario for the Canyon Extension site using the emission factors given in Table 3.

The emission inventory has been derived to reflect the worst-case scenario for airborne emissions over a 24-hour period, and mean average operational conditions for annual assessments. As such, all equipment stated in the proposed equipment list provided by Whitehaven Coal Mining Ltd is assumed to be operational for their respective hours of operation.

The following assumptions have been made in deriving the emission inventory for the model:

- The working days available is based on six and a half (6 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) days a week, for 50 weeks per annum.
- □ Blasting activities are proposed to occur once a week, for 50 weeks per annum.

- Most open-cut mining activities are approved to occur between 7.00 am to 10.00 pm Monday to Saturday and are generally undertaken from 7.00 am to 6.00 pm. Limited activities are permitted between 10.00 pm to about 2.00 am which includes the following; mining activities (the use of the Hitachi 3600 excavator, dozers, grader), dumping of overburden materials within the project site and wheel generated dust from unpaved roads within the project site on overburden.
- Haul Trucks have been represented as simulated line sources using the 'volume source' Ausplume input. Each volume source is located along the centreline of the real line source with separations less than one quarter of the distance to the nearest residential receptor (in this case, at 500 m intervals). The above is in accordance with Section 2.5.3 of the Ausplume Technical User Manual. Hauling on unpaved roads will employ water spraying, and as such, a 50% emission reduction has been applied to this activity.
- □ A 'Pit retention' control factor has been applied to emission rates / fluxes corresponding to activities occurring within the limit of mining, based on 50% control for TSP and 5% for  $PM_{10}$ , as per Table 3 of the EETM for Mining.
- One (1) blasting event is assumed to occur in the middle of the working day. The average area of blast, the moisture content, and the depth of the blast hole are used to determine the blasting Emission Factor. This has been assumed to be  $6000 \text{ m}^2$ , 3.9% and 15 m respectively based on information supplied by Whitehaven Coal Mining Ltd.
- Stockpiles are to be watered as required. Accordingly, a 50% reduction to the stockpile wind erosion emission factor due to water spraying has been applied, as per Table 3 of EETM for Mining.
- □ Average wind speeds are used for conveying and excavator/front end loader emission factors. This has been calculated using the site specific meteorological file as 2 m/s.
- □ In the absence of an emission factor corresponding to the loading of the coal hopper, an emission factor equivalent to the loading of the stockpiles has been assumed.
- Percentage silt content is used to derive an emission factor for the scraper. This has been assumed to be 1.8% based on an assessment conducted at a similar open-cut coal mine, Werris Creek.
- Mean vehicle speed is used to derive an emission factor for the grader. This is assumed to be 7.5 km/h based on an assessment conducted at a similar open-cut coal mine, Werris Creek.
- □ In the absence of a specific emission factor, the truck loading coal bin located at the processing plant is assumed to have a similar emission factor to the handling, transferring and conveying of coal.
- The front end loader is assumed to be working in the processing area for a 15 hour period daily.
- □ For modelling of annual emissions, emission rates have been adjusted to reflect six and a half (6 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) days of operation per week.

#### 5 EMISSIONS ASSESSMENT

#### 5.1 Dust Deposition

**Table 4** shows the results of the Ausplume predictions for dust deposition using the emission rates calculated in **Appendix D**, at the sensitive air quality receptors adopted in **Section 4.3**.

The results show the mean average monthly deposition experienced by the receptors surrounding the site over a one-year time frame. It has been assumed that background levels of dust deposition are of the order of  $1.5 \text{ g/m}^2$ /month for the nearest receptors. The background values were sourced from monitoring undertaken by Whitehaven Coal Mining Ltd between January 2001 and December 2001 around the existing Whitehaven Coal Mine. A contour plot of the modelled dust deposition values obtained around the Canyon Extension site is presented in **Appendix E**.

#### Table 4 Background and Incremental Dust Deposition at Nearest Sensitive Receptors

	Dust – Annual Average g/m²/ month		
Receptor	Background	Increment	Background + Increment
"Willgai"	1.5	0.1	1.6
"Broadwater"	1.5	0.1	1.6
"Woodlands"	1.5	0.1	1.6
"Gundawarra"	1.5	0.1	1.6

At all of the nearest sensitive receptors, total mean monthly dust deposition (background plus increment) rates associated with the Canyon Extension site operation are predicted to be:

• Less than  $1.7 \text{ g/m}^2$ /month at all nearest residential receptors.

As such, levels of dust deposition are expected to satisfy the dust deposition criterion (3.5 g/m<sup>2</sup>/month).

#### 5.2 PM<sub>10</sub> (24-Hour Average)

**Table 7** shows the results of the Ausplume predictions for 24-hour  $PM_{10}$  using the emission rates calculated in **Appendix D**, at the sensitive air quality receptors adopted in **Section 4.3**.

- 9 -

The results show the maximum 24-hour average concentration of  $PM_{10}$  experienced by the receptors surrounding the site applying the analysis over a one-year time frame. It has been assumed that background levels of  $PM_{10}$  vary on a daily basis. These background levels, sourced from the Tamworth DEC air quality monitoring site, have been incorporated into the model and associated contour plots. A contour plot of the modelled 24-hour  $PM_{10}$  values obtained around the Canyon Extension site is presented in **Appendix F**.

#### Table 5 Background and Incremental PM<sub>10</sub> Concentrations at Nearest Sensitive Receptors

	$PM_{10} - 24$ -hour Average $\mu g/m^3$			
Receptor	Background	Increment	Background + Increment	
"Willgai"	20.6	15.1	35.7	
"Broadwater"	18.3	22.2	40.5	
"Woodlands"	34.6	0.1	34.7	
"Gundawarra"	34.6	0.1	34.7	

At the nearest residential receptors, the maximum annual 24-hour average concentration of  $PM_{10}$  for the modelled year (background plus increment) associated with the Canyon Extension site is predicted to be:

• Less than 40.6  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> at all nearest residential receptors.

As such, concentrations of 24-hour  $PM_{10}$  are expected to satisfy the project goal (50  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>).

#### 5.3 PM<sub>10</sub> (Annual Average)

**Table 6** shows the results of the Ausplume predictions for annual average  $PM_{10}$  using the emission rates calculated in **Appendix D**, at the sensitive air quality receptors adopted in **Section 4.3**.

It has been assumed that background annual average levels of  $PM_{10}$  are of the order of 14  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> for the nearest receptors.

### Table 6 Background and Incremental Annual PM<sub>10</sub> Concentrations at Nearest Sensitive Receptors

	PM <sub>10</sub> – Annual Average μg/m <sup>3</sup>			
Table 6    Receptor	Background	Increment	Background + Increment	
"Willgai"	14	1.7	15.7	
"Broadwater"	14	0.9	14.9	
"Woodlands"	14	0.9	14.9	
"Gundawarra"	14	0.6	14.6	

At all of the nearest residential receptors, total annual average  $PM_{10}$  concentrations (background plus increment) associated with the Canyon Extension site are predicted to be:

• Less than  $15.8 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$  at all nearest residential receptors for all scenario stages modelled.

As such, annual concentrations of  $PM_{10}$  are expected to satisfy the project goal (30  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>).

#### 5.4 PM<sub>2.5</sub>

Generalised particle size distributions are available in Appendix B.2 of the USEPA AP-42 document. Size distributions from industries covering material handling and processing of aggregate and unprocessed ore (typical of particulate generating activities likely to contribute to the air quality surrounding the study area) are quoted as the following:

□ Approximately 30% of the PM<sub>10</sub> particle size fraction will constitute PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

A simple calculation based on the above assumption, combined with the highest predicted  $PM_{10}$  concentrations in **Table 5** and **Table 6** above, indicates that:

- Worst case 24-hour average  $PM_{2.5}$  may be expected to be of the order of  $12.2 \,\mu g/m^3$ .
- Annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> may be expected to be of the order of 4.7  $\mu$ g/m<sup>2</sup>.

Therefore the 24-hour average  $PM_{2.5}$  criterion of 25 µg/m<sup>3</sup> will be satisfied when the 24-hour average  $PM_{10}$  criterion of 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup> is achieved.

Additionally, the annual average criterion of  $8 \mu g/m^3 PM_{2.5}$  is also anticipated to be achieved.

#### 6 CONCLUSION

Richard Heggie Associates (Heggies) has been commissioned by Whitehaven Coal Mining Ltd (WCML) to assess the operation of the proposed Canyon Extension phase of the existing Whitehaven Coal Mine on local air quality.

Modelling of fugitive dust emissions and an assessment of combustion emissions was undertaken to determine the resulting air quality impacts of the proposed operation.

Computer predictions of fugitive emissions from the site were undertaken using the Ausplume Gaussian Plume Dispersion Model software developed by EPA (Victoria).

These predictions indicate that particulate matter emissions and dust deposition attributable to the proposed operation will be within current NSW DEC (and NEPM) air quality goals at all surrounding residences.

#### 7 REFERENCES

The following documents and resources have been used in the production of this report:

#### **Regarding Air Quality Standards**

- National Environmental Protection Council (NEPC), "National Environmental Protection Measure for Ambient Air Quality", 1998.
- National Health and Medical Research Council, 92nd Session, 1981.
- □ NSW Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC), "Approved Methods and Guidance for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in New South Wales", August 2001.

#### Regarding Existing Air Quality

- Background dust deposition monitoring undertaken by Whitehaven Coal Mining Ltd, between January 2001 and December 2001, around the existing Whitehaven Coal Mine, NSW.
- NSW DEC,  $PM_{10}$  data as measured by TEOM at the DEC's Tamworth monitoring site, 2001.
- USEPA, "AP-42: Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, Fifth Edition", 2001.

#### **Regarding Site Meteorology**

• Site specific meteorology file (hourly observations for the year 2001) for the existing Whitehaven Coal Mine site.

#### **Regarding Site Emission Factors**

- Environment Australia, "*Emission Estimation Technique Manual for Mining Version 2.3*", National Pollutant Inventory, December 2001.
- Environment Australia, "Emission Estimation Technique Manual for Mining and Processing of Non-Metallic Minerals Version 2.0", National Pollutant Inventory, August 2000.
- □ RHA Draft Report No. 10-1863R4D2 "Whitehaven Coal Mine, Canyon *Extension, Noise Impact Assessment*", December 2004.
- RHA Draft Report No. 30-1307R2D4 "Proposed Werris Creek Coal Mine, Air Quality Impact Assessment", July 2004.

- 13 -

### **APPENDICES**

Appendix A	Annual and Seasonal Wind Roses for Whitehaven Coal Mine, Canyon Extension
Appendix B	Seasonal Stability Classes for Whitehaven Coal Mine, Canyon Extension
Appendix C	Site Plan of Scenario 2 – Whitehaven Coal Mine, Canyon Extension
Appendix D	Atmospheric Emissions Inventory
Appendix E	Predicted Annual Average Dust Deposition Contours, Scenario 2, Whitehaven Coal Mine, Canyon Extension
Appendix F	Predicted 24-Hour Average PM10 Contours, Scenario 2, Whitehaven Coal Mine, Canyon Extension

### **APPENDIX A**

- 15 -

### Annual and Seasonal Wind Roses for Whitehaven Coal Mine, Canyon Extension

**STATEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS** *Air Quality Impact Assessment* 



### **APPENDIX B**

- 19 -

### Seasonal Stability Classes for Whitehaven Coal Mine, Canyon Extension

**STATEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS** *Air Quality Impact Assessment* 



### **APPENDIX C**

- 23 -

Site Plan of Scenario 2 – Whitehaven Coal Mine, Canyon Extension - 25 -

Appendix C Report 10-3785-R1D1 Page 1 of 1





### **APPENDIX D**

- 27 -

**Atmospheric Emissions Inventory** 

STATEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS
Air Quality Impact Assessment

	T SP Emission Factor	PM <sub>10</sub> Emissio n Factor	Emission Factor Units	Throughput (toun es per hour)	Number of Hectares of stadipile	Average number of kikimetres per retum trip	Working days aveisble	Working Hours Per Day	TSP Emlasion Rate Img/s)	PM <sub>u</sub> Entission Rate (mg/s)	TSP Emission Flue (mg/sm²)	PM.u Emission Flux (mg/s/m <sup>2</sup> )	k coord	haood	wiath	tength	Meight
1. Extraction																	
Blasting	311,55	162.01	kg/blast	N/A	VIN	NIA	50		5927.5	42751,8	0.9879	7.1253	222609	166482	23	120	12
Excavator A - Hitachi 3600	0.0003	0.0001	kgri	501,00	N/A	AVA.	300	24	14.5	15.9	N/A.	N/A	223032	166333	3	S	4
Excavator B - Komatsu PC 1250	0.0273	0.0131	kg/l	136.70	N/A	N/A	300	31	426,3	473.7	N/A	NIA	222559	106326	3	ja,	4
Buildozer A - CAT D11	10.7223	2.2742	ikg/hir	N/A	NIA	NVA	300	24	1224.0	600,1	NIA	NIA	222578	166491	4	4	N
Buldozer B - CAT D10	10.7223	22742	kg/h/	N/A	N/A	N/A	300	24	1224.0	600,1	N/A	N/A	222592	166330	4	4	2
Carry Dozer - CAT D11	0.5739	1.4054	kg/hr	N/N	V/N	N/A	300	24	65.5	370.9	N/N	V/N	222917	166396	4	4	Ŋ
Grader - CAT 14G	0.5238	0.1913	<b>kg/VKT</b>	NIA	N/A	0,68	300	67	40.7	34.3	NIA	NIA	223086	166521	3	10	٣
Scraper (topsoil, elevated)	0.0108	0,0026	KOVKT	N/A	N/A	0:30	300	15	0.4	0.2	N/A	N/A	222394	166530	<b>1</b> 0	40	÷
Truck Overbunden Dumping (day)	0,0120	0.0043	kgt	501.00	N/A	N/A	300	15	205,9	170.6	N/A	NIN	222888	166484	2	4	শ
Truck Overburden Dumping (night)	0.0120	0.0043	kgr	501.00	A/A	N/A	300	G	205,9	170.6	N/A,	N/A	223098	106668	2	4	4
Haul Truck Movement - CAT 785 (dav)	0.4740	0.1388	kg/VKT	N/N	NIA	2.20	300	45	1212	82.1	N/N	N/N	223003	166919	2	4	4
Haul Truck Movement - CAT 785 (dav)	0.4740	0.1388	kg/VKT	N/A	N/A	2.20	300	15	1212	82.1	NIA	N/A	223066	166451	2	4	4
Haul Truck Movement - CAT 785 (night)	0.4740	0.1388	kg/VKT	AIA	N/A	0.68	300	Ø	74.9	50.7	A/A	A/A	223108	166660	2	4	4
Haul Truck Movement - CAT 777 (dav)	0.4351	0.1288	kg/VKT	N/A	NN	2.76	300	15	38.1	26.1	N/N	N/A	222625	166618	2	4	4
Haul Truck Movement - CAT 777 (day)	0,4351	0.1288	kg/VKT	N/A	N/A	2,76	300	36	38.1	28.1	NA	N/A	222800	166988	2	5	4
Open Pit Wind Erosion	0.4000	0.2000	kg/ha/hr	A/A	49.27	N/A	N/A.	N/A	YNV.	N/A	10000	100010	222394	106530	6/9	725	-30
Drill Rig (Ingersoll Rand DM45)	0.5900	0.3100	kg/hole	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	0	112	81.8	N/A	N/A	222400	166599	2	7	2
Richard Heggie Associates	Pty Ltd			Designed	8	Cieexed by	WP J	AE	stowed by - date	10/12/04	slarame 10.	TREAT Append	0.05	Deted 10/12/	3		25
Level 2, 2, Lince Lane Cove NSW Lane Cove NSW Telephone - 612 Erral Sydrey@	In Street In Street 1 2066 Austral I Cove NSW 9427 8100 F 1 eggles.com.a	la 1595 acsimile •6 au	12 9427 8200			Appe	andix	D. Atr	hqson	eric E	missic	ins Inv	/entor	X		U	Community of the

#### WHITEHAVEN COAL MINING LIMITED Proposed Canyon Extension

#### STATEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS Air Quality Impact Assessment

Table Tractorise         Fertial Entitiere Tractorise         Fertial Entitiere Tractorise         Pumble of Transpecting         Mutthe of Transpecting           0.0100         0.0040         kg/t         136.70         N/A           0.0100         0.0042         kg/t         136.70         N/A           0.0100         0.0042         kg/t         136.70         N/A           0.0050         0.0020         kg/t         136.70         N/A           0.0050         0.0131         kg/t         136.70         N/A           0.0131         kg/t         136.70         N/A         N/A           0.0132         0.138         kg/t         136.70         N/A           0.1355         0.138         kg/t	TSP     FMAL     Eventse     France     Intermediate     Nerroundinate     Nerroundination     Nerroundinate     Nerround	TEAP Interestion         PMAs Interestion         Fendersion Interestion         Tenning Interestion         Restricts Interestion         Non- tion         Non- entitient         Non- interestion         Non- interestinterestinteresinterestina         Non- interestina	TEP Indication Intension         Fandame Intension         Fandame Intension         Fandame Intension         Pandame Intension         Montane Intension         Montane         Montane Intension        <	TEP Tenesing         PML Factor         Entration (marry marry sector)         PML Factor         PML Factor <th>TEP         Priori         Priority in the priori         Priority in the priori         Priority in the priori         Priority in the priorit the priori</th> <th>Tipe         Prime         Prime</th> <th>TUP         TUP         TUP<th>TUP         TUP         TUP<th>Tips         Final         Final</th><th>Title         Factor         Factor<!--</th--><th></th><th>2. Crushing/Processing</th><th>Crusher</th><th>Unioading from trucks in POM area (dav)</th><th>Handling, transfer and conveying</th><th>Endosed Conveyor Bell</th><th>Loading to Trucks</th><th>Coal Stockpile Wind Erosion</th><th>Front End Loader (CAT 988G)</th><th>3. Hauling Offsite</th><th>Haul Truck Movement - CAT 777 (day)</th><th>Richard Heggie Associates Consulting Engl</th><th>PO Box 176 Lan</th></th></th></th>	TEP         Priori         Priority in the priori         Priority in the priori         Priority in the priori         Priority in the priorit the priori	Tipe         Prime	TUP         TUP <th>TUP         TUP         TUP<th>Tips         Final         Final</th><th>Title         Factor         Factor<!--</th--><th></th><th>2. Crushing/Processing</th><th>Crusher</th><th>Unioading from trucks in POM area (dav)</th><th>Handling, transfer and conveying</th><th>Endosed Conveyor Bell</th><th>Loading to Trucks</th><th>Coal Stockpile Wind Erosion</th><th>Front End Loader (CAT 988G)</th><th>3. Hauling Offsite</th><th>Haul Truck Movement - CAT 777 (day)</th><th>Richard Heggie Associates Consulting Engl</th><th>PO Box 176 Lan</th></th></th>	TUP         TUP <th>Tips         Final         Final</th> <th>Title         Factor         Factor<!--</th--><th></th><th>2. Crushing/Processing</th><th>Crusher</th><th>Unioading from trucks in POM area (dav)</th><th>Handling, transfer and conveying</th><th>Endosed Conveyor Bell</th><th>Loading to Trucks</th><th>Coal Stockpile Wind Erosion</th><th>Front End Loader (CAT 988G)</th><th>3. Hauling Offsite</th><th>Haul Truck Movement - CAT 777 (day)</th><th>Richard Heggie Associates Consulting Engl</th><th>PO Box 176 Lan</th></th>	Tips         Final	Title         Factor         Factor </th <th></th> <th>2. Crushing/Processing</th> <th>Crusher</th> <th>Unioading from trucks in POM area (dav)</th> <th>Handling, transfer and conveying</th> <th>Endosed Conveyor Bell</th> <th>Loading to Trucks</th> <th>Coal Stockpile Wind Erosion</th> <th>Front End Loader (CAT 988G)</th> <th>3. Hauling Offsite</th> <th>Haul Truck Movement - CAT 777 (day)</th> <th>Richard Heggie Associates Consulting Engl</th> <th>PO Box 176 Lan</th>		2. Crushing/Processing	Crusher	Unioading from trucks in POM area (dav)	Handling, transfer and conveying	Endosed Conveyor Bell	Loading to Trucks	Coal Stockpile Wind Erosion	Front End Loader (CAT 988G)	3. Hauling Offsite	Haul Truck Movement - CAT 777 (day)	Richard Heggie Associates Consulting Engl	PO Box 176 Lan
PMM         Emersion Through on Freeting Infraction         Through on thrunn         Number of thrunn           Infraction         Restant Infraction         Infraction         Infraction           Infraction         Right         136.70         N/A	PMA         Emeration frames free in France         Three up from the frames frames pet frames pet fra	PMM         Faretise frame         Throughing frame         Throughing frame         Number frame         Number frame	PMM         Ensisting Fraction (month)         Thromation of month         Number of month         Numer of month         Number o	Multi fragmer f	Mail         Tendingtion         Number of tensor         Numer         Number of tensor         Number of t	PMAL Internation Internatio Internatio Internation Internation Internation Internation Inte	Mathematication         Tendenciation         Network and tendeciation         Network and tendeciation <th>With finite fi</th> <th>With</th> <th>Main         Testing (withing)         Testing (withing)         Weiling (withing)         Weilin</th> <th>TSP Enrission Factor</th> <th></th> <th>0.0100</th> <th>0,0100</th> <th>0,0050</th> <th>0,0050</th> <th>0.0050</th> <th>0.4000</th> <th>0,0273</th> <th></th> <th>0.4351</th> <th>s Pty Ltd ineers in Street</th> <th>ne Cove NSV</th>	With finite fi	With	Main         Testing (withing)         Testing (withing)         Weiling (withing)         Weilin	TSP Enrission Factor		0.0100	0,0100	0,0050	0,0050	0.0050	0.4000	0,0273		0.4351	s Pty Ltd ineers in Street	ne Cove NSV
Emersion transmit station transmit station kg/t     Through put transmit station t	Energian free free units     Trivenuptioni tecans free free free free free free free fre	Enseets Tetesting Intitial Sector Intitial Intitial Intitial Regit     Transfer Intitial Intitia Intitia Intitial IntitiaIntitia Intitia IntitiaIntitia IntitiaIntitia IntitiaIntitia IntitiaIntitia IntitiaIntitia IntitiaIntitia IntitiaIntitia IntitiaIntitia IntitiaIntitiaIntitia IntitiaIntitia IntitiaIntiti	Eversion tubility     Through int the material transmession and table     Through int transmession and table     Through int transmession table         Through	Filtering for the filteri	Entropic         Transition         Number of some state         Numer of some state         Numer of some state	Finance         Finance <t< th=""><th>Finite function         Finite functin         Finite function         Finite func</th><th>Financial         Temple in the state in the state</th><th>Freedom         Tendem         Weather and/a         Weather and/a         Weather and/a</th><th>Freedsing (with (with)         Trendsing (with)         With (with)         Trendsing (with)         Mith (with)         Trendsing (with)         Mith (with)         Mith</th><th>PMm Emissio In Factor</th><th></th><th>0.0040</th><th>0.0042</th><th>0,0020</th><th>0.0020</th><th>0.0020</th><th>0.2000</th><th>0,0131</th><th></th><th>0.1288</th><th>4</th><th>11595</th></t<>	Finite function         Finite functin         Finite function         Finite func	Financial         Temple in the state	Freedom         Tendem         Weather and/a         Weather and/a	Freedsing (with (with)         Trendsing (with)         With (with)         Trendsing (with)         Mith (with)         Trendsing (with)         Mith (with)         Mith	PMm Emissio In Factor		0.0040	0.0042	0,0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.2000	0,0131		0.1288	4	11595
Thronuglitant furnition of furnition of furnition of functions from the continued fuence of the continued of task 70 N/A 136.70 N/A 136.70 N/A 136.70 N/A 136.70 N/A 136.70 N/A 136.70 N/A N/A fraction of task 70 N/A N/A N/A N/A fraction of task 70 N/A N/A N/A N/A fraction of task 70 N/A	Thrompford Average Thrompford Rectars Average Table 136.70 NVA NVA 136.70 NVA NVA 136.70 NVA NVA 136.70 NVA NVA 136.70 0.11 NVA 136.70	Throughting (fummerses)     Number of the cares and the cares per part of the cares and the care and the cares and the care and the care and the care and the care and the car	Throughting (familiary factors from that         Number of the factors from the factors fromt	Through rule (unitable)     Number of seconds     Additions seconds     Number of seconds     Number of seconds     Table (unitable seconds     Table seconds     Table seconds     Table seconds       126.70     NUA     NUA     NUA     300     15     156.1       136.70     NUA     NUA     300     15     156.1       136.70     NUA     NUA     300     15     156.1       136.70     NUA     NUA     NUA     300     15     156.1       136.70     NUA     NUA     0.55     300     15     15.2       136.70     NUA     NUA     0.55     300     16     15.2       136	Transfere (mum.per man.)         function (mum.per man.)         function (mum.per man.)         function (mum.per man.)         Table (mum.per man.)         Par. (mum.per man.)           136.70         N/A         N/A         300         15         312.1         159.6           136.70         N/A         N/A         300         15         312.1         159.6           136.70         N/A         N/A         300         15         46.8         22.8           136.70         N/A         N/A         300         15         46.8         23.6           136.70         N/A         N/A         300         15         156.1         75.9           136.70         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A           136.70         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A         164.7           136.70         N/A         N/A	Transfere         Network	Transitivity interview intervintervintervinterview interview interview interview interview in	Trendingtime function         Name and and function         Name and and and function         Name and and and and and and and and and and	Transmitting transmitting         Notice transmitting	Transmisting from the state (above)         Team of the state (above)         Weat (above) (above)         Weat (above)         Weat (above)         Weat (above)         Mean (above)	Entstan Factor Units		kan	kgit	kgň	kg/t	kg/t	kg/ha/hr	kgň		Kg/VKT		name that in th
NIME of NIA	Number of Avenue fectares Avenue fectares atometers atometers atometers atometers NVA NVA NVA NVA NVA NVA NVA NVA NVA NVA NVA NVA AVA NVA NVA AVA ADDE	Number of tectares stockpie     Mumeroe ages tectares tectares mumeroi becares     Numeroe ages ages tectares mumeroi tectares     Mumeroi ages ages ages ages ago NVA     N/A     300       N/A     N/A     300     300       N/A     N/A     300     300       N/A     N/A     300     300       N/A     N/A     0.55     300       DP     Zrecket 5/ JW     200	Number of tectares acciptie     American accist accist tectares automated accist accis accis accist accist accist accist accist accis accist accist acc	Number of browness activities         Number of text activities         Weeking activities         Text activities         Text activities <thtext activities         Text actititities</thtext 	Nitrobartie tectoristic stretionistretionistic stretionistic stretionistic stretionistic st	Nitree of encircles	Nice         Mathematical metalectical societies         Working metalectical metalectical societies         Working metalectical metalec	Name         Name <th< td=""><td>With the first weight weight</td><td>Nith the state is the</td><td>Through put (tonnes pet hour)</td><td></td><td>136.70</td><td>136.70</td><td>136,70</td><td>136.70</td><td>136.70</td><td>136.70</td><td>136.70</td><td></td><td>N/A</td><th>กัส paเอ็ชสมั</th><td></td></th<>	With the first weight	Nith the state is the	Through put (tonnes pet hour)		136.70	136.70	136,70	136.70	136.70	136.70	136.70		N/A	กัส paเอ็ชสมั	
	NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA NIA	Annual and	Annualet verians verians thermatics and the terrans and the terrans and the terrans the te	Amendance between anometers         Weating type         Working type         Tape type         Tape type         Tape type           N/A         300         15         312.1           N/A         300         15         312.1           N/A         300         15         312.1           N/A         300         15         45.8           N/A         300         15         45.8           N/A         300         15         156.1           N/A         300         15         156.1           N/A         300         15         852.5           N/A         300         15         852.5           0.55         300         16         15.2           0.55         300         16         15.2           0.55         300         16         15.2           0.55         300         16         15.2           Decided by JM         Ammodel by JM         Ammodel by JM	Members between animates         Working type         TSP type         Working type         TSP type         PM/s type           N/A         300         15         312.1         151.8         161.8           N/A         300         15         312.1         156.1         75.9           N/A         300         15         156.1         75.9         166.5           N/A         300         15         156.1         75.9         1/A           N/A         300         15         156.1         75.9           N/A         300         15         156.1         75.9           N/A         300         15         156.1         75.9           N/A         300         15         156.1         76.9           N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A         N/A           N/A         300         15         85.25         496.7           0.55         300         15         10.4         10.4           0.55         300         15         10.4         10.4           25         300         15         10.4         10.4           25         300         15         10.4         10.4	Mutuation betweeting weigting weigting weigting weigting weigting weigting weigting weigting weigting weigting weigting weigting weigting wind wind wind wind wind wind wind wind	Average benefication activity properties         Table properties properties properties         Table properties properties properties         Table properties properties         Table properties properties         Table properties properties         Table properties         Table         Table         Table	Mathematication methods         Vicitity in the state methods         Table methods         Table         Table         Table         Table         Table         Table <th< td=""><td>Mathematication and state and and and and and and and and and and and and and</td><td>Mathematication (with submit to the state)         Water and the state in the</td><td>Number of Hectares of stookpile</td><td></td><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td>NIA</td><td>11.0</td><td>N/A</td><td></td><td>N/A</td><th>a</th><td></td></th<>	Mathematication and state and and and and and and and and and and and and and	Mathematication (with submit to the state)         Water and the state in the	Number of Hectares of stookpile		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NIA	11.0	N/A		N/A	a	
Weiling lightsing light	Wetting the state (wetting)         Table (wetting) (wetting)         Table (wetting)         Table	TBP (mode)         PML (mode)         TSP (mode)         TSP (mo	PMIG         TSP         PMIG         TSP         PMIG         FMIG         PMIG         FMIG         PMIG         FMIG         F	TSP (modelim)         modelim (modelim)         wotelim (modelim)         wotelim (modelim)         wotelim (modelim)         restand (modelim)         wotelim (modelim)         restand (modelim)         <	PMilon Transition Transition Invice         control Filting Filting         restored interface         restored interface <threstore< th="">         restored interface         &lt;</threstore<>	resolut         yeoolot         yeoolot         wethin         tangin           222857         167208         3         6         3         2           222856         167240         2         4         3         3         6           222895         167340         2         5         10         3         5         10           222895         167340         40         125         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         3         6         30	Jeancord         widitii         langiti           167/208         3         6           167/21         2         4           167/21         2         58           167/21         2         5           167/21         2         5           167/21         2         5           167/21         2         5           167/20         30         30           167/301         30         30           167/301         20         30           167/301         2         4	Addim         Addim <th< td=""><td>4 33 22 4 6 auth</td><td></td><td>height</td><td></td><td>67</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>ŝ</td><td>8</td><td>25</td><td></td><td>4</td><th>22223</th><td>Zhanh Marine (</td></th<>	4 33 22 4 6 auth		height		67	4	4	4	ŝ	8	25		4	22223	Zhanh Marine (

## **APPENDIX E**

- 31 -

Predicted Annual Average Dust Deposition Contours, Scenario 2, Whitehaven Coal Mine, Canyon Extension

#### WHITEHAVEN COAL MINING LIMITED Proposed Canyon Extension



Richard Heggie Associates Pty Ltd

## **APPENDIX F**

- 35 -

Predicted 24-Hour Average PM10 Contours, Scenario 2, Whitehaven Coal Mine, Canyon Extension 
 STATEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS
 - 37 WHITEHAVEN COAL MINING LIMITED

 Air Quality Impact Assessment
 - 37 Proposed Canyon Extension

